

EXHIBIT “B” PART 13

Noviho's Exhibits 11, 12 and 13 had limited probative value relative to the conditions at the time of the crash and were also potentially confusing and prejudicial to the jury. Therefore, they were properly excluded in this case.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, this Court respectfully requests that the appeal of Mawuyrayrassuna Emmanuel Yaogan Noviho be denied.

Accordingly, I enter the following:

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
CRIMINAL

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :

v.

No. 4200 - 2013

MAWUYRAYRASSUNA EMMANUEL
YAOGAN NOVIHO

ORDER

AND NOW, this 7th day of April, 2015, the Court hereby submits this Opinion
pursuant to Rule 1925(a) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure.

BY THE COURT:

DAVID L. ASHWORTH
JUDGE

CLERK OF COURTS

2015 APR - 7 PM 2:01

LANCASTER COUNTY, PA

I certify this document to be filed
in the Lancaster County Office of
the Clerk of the Courts.

ATTEST:

Joshua G. Parsons
Clerk of the Courts

Copies to: Susan E. Moyer, Assistant District Attorney
Robert Daniels, Esquire, 218 Pine Street, P.O. Box 886, Harrisburg, PA
17108-0886

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MAWURAYRASSUNA	:	
EMMANUEL NOVIHO,	:	Civil Action No. 15-cv-03151
Plaintiff	:	
	:	Jury Trial Demanded
v.	:	
	:	Honorable Jeffrey Schmehl
LANCASTER COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA:	:	
SCOTT F. MARTIN,	:	
TODD E. BROWN, and	:	
CHRISTOPHER DISSINGER,	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of _____, 2016, upon
consideration of the Motion of Defendant Christopher Dissinger for Leave to
Attach a Supplemental Exhibit to his Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint
Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) (Doc. 12), it is NOW AND HEREBY ORDERED that
Defendant's Motion is GRANTED and the Superior Court Order of February 17,
2016, with corresponding Opinion of the trial court, shall be attached as Exhibit
“B” to Defendant Dissinger’s Motion to Dismiss for consideration by the Court.

BY THE COURT

J.